

|   |                        |                             |  |
|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b>         |  |
|   | 10/692,458             | FERLITSCH, ANDREW<br>RODNEY |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>             |  |
|   | Myles D. Robinson      | 2625                        |  |

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Myles D. Robinson.

(2) Gerald Maliszewski.

**Date of Interview:** 13 January 2008

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

30, 31

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Examiner proposed a couple of amendments to circumvent potential objections hindering the case from being in condition of allowance. The Applicant agreed to proposed amendments.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Myles D. Robinson/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2625

**Status of Application:** Non-Final Rejection

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 2:20PM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)